



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

TR CMP No. 1205 of 2025

AND

CMP NO. 27464 OF 2025

A.Vaitheeswari
W/o. T.Prabhu,
D/o. Arumugam,
No.2, Rajiv Nagar, 4th Street,
Samundipuram, Tirupur – 641 603.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

T.Prabhu
S/o. Thangaraj,
No.17, Kadikana Lane,
Chinna Kadi Street,
Trichy – 620 002.

..Respondent(s)


PRAYER : Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Section 24 of CPC, to transfer the case in H.M.O.P. No. 97 of 2025 on the file of Hon'ble Sub Court, Lalgudi to the file of the Hon'ble Family Court, Tirupur.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.A.Manikandan

For Respondent(s): No appearance

ORDER

This petition has been filed to withdraw HMOP No.97 of 2025 from the file of the Sub Court, Lalgudi, and to transfer the same to the file of the Family Court, Tirupur.




WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the respondent has not chosen to enter appearance before this Court despite service of notice.

4. It is seen that service of notice has been served on the respondent and the name of the respondent is also printed in the cause list today. The respondent was called absent.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner got married to the respondent on 31.03.2021 at Sree Samayapuram Mariyamman Temple, Trichy as per Hindu Rites and Customs. Out of wedlock, they blessed with a female baby. She is presently aged 4 years old. The petitioner / wife has filed a petition in M.C.No.127 of 2025 on the file of the Family Court, Tirupur, seeking for maintenance for the petitioner and her daughter. The respondent / husband filed H.M.O.P.No.97 of 2025 before the Subordinate Court, Lalgudi, seeking for restitution of conjugal rights making allegations and averments against the petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner further would submit that the petitioner is living with her child without any source of income and could not able to travel from Lalgudi to



Tirupur (totally for up and down 370 KM) along with her parents with female child for every hearing of the case. Therefore, the case in H.M.O.P.No.97 of 2025 may be withdrawn from the file of the Subordinate Court, Lalgudi and transferred to the file of Family Court, Tirupur.

6. I have gone through the affidavit filed in support this petition and I find merit in the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

7. At this juncture, it may be apposite to cite the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in **N.C.V.Aishwarya vs. A.S.Saravana Karthik** (**MANU/SC/1211/2022 : 2022 Live Law (SC) 627**) held at paras 9 and 10, which reads as under:-

"9. The cardinal principle for exercise of power under section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is that the ends of justice should demand the transfer of the suit, appeal or other proceeding. In matrimonial matters, wherever Courts are called upon to consider the plea of transfer, the Courts have to take into consideration the economic soundness of both the parties, the social strata of the spouses and their behavioural pattern, their standard of life prior to the marriage and subsequent thereto and the circumstances of both the parties in eking out their livelihood and under whose protective umbrella they are seeking their sustenance to life. Given the prevailing socio-economic paradigm in the Indian society, generally, it is the wife's convenience which must be looked at while considering transfer. (emphasis supplied)

10. Further, when two or more proceedings are pending in different Courts between the same parties which raise common question of fact and law, and when the decisions in the cases are interdependent, it is desirable that they should be tried together by the same Judge so as to avoid multiplicity in trial of the same issues and conflict of decisions".




WEB COPY

8. It is also relevant to refer the decision made by the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in TR.CMP(MD)No.108 of 2010 dated 03.03.2011, wherein, it has observed as below:-

"18.It is true that section 19 of the Hindu Marriage Act, has been amended by insertion of proviso of (iii)(a) to section 19. Of Course, this amended section 19(iii)(a) gives special preference to the wife to file a petition or defending the case of the husband before the Court within whose jurisdiction she resides. The intention of the legislator is to safe-guard the interest and rights of the women, who are being subjected to harassment and cruelty. But this special preference conferred under section 19 (iii)(a) of the Hindu Marriage Act shall not be used to wreck vengeance on the husband. There must be a justifiable cause to select the jurisdiction of the Court where she resides."

9. In the light of the proposition laid down in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *N.C.V.Aishwarya* case cited *supra* and also in the light of the observation made by this Court, wherein, it has been held that convenience of the wife has to be considered while transferring the case from one Court to another, there can be no impediment for allowing this petition as prayed for.

10. Accordingly, this Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is allowed. The case in H.M.O.P.No.97 of 2025 is hereby withdrawn from the file of the Subordinate Court, Lalgudi, and transferred to the file of the Family Court, Tiruppur. On receipt of the address of the respondent the trial judge is directed



to issue notice to the respondent. On his appearance the trial court is directed to proceed with the matter. No costs. Consequently, connected Civil Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

03-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
MTL



WEB COPY

TR CMP No. 1205 of 2



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.

MTL

To

1. The Subordinate Court, Lalgudi.
2. The Family Court, Tirupur.

**TR CMP No. 1205 of 2025
AND
CMP NO. 27464 OF 2025**

03-06-2026