WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32997 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012669322026}

Rajendiran
S/o Mani,
No.27, Kariyakudal Kuttai Colony,
Kariyakudal, Nemili,
Ranipet District.

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Represented by
The Inspector of Police
Nemili Police Station,
Ranipet District.
Crime No. 248 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitoner on bail pending investigation with Crime No. 248 of 2026 on the file of the respondent and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.C.S.Saravanan

For Respondent(s): Mr.Durai Gunasekaran,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.09.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1) and 351(3) of BNS, Act 2023 in Crime No. 248 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner along with other accused attacked the defacto complainant with stick, due to which, defacto complainant sustained grievous injuries. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and due to previous enmity, he has been falsely implicated in this case, and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the co-accused has been released on bail by this Court in Crl.OP.No.30380 of 2026 dated 26.10.2026 and hence, prayed for the grant of bail on the ground of parity.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that it is a case and case in counter. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the Petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. It is a case of assault with a wooden log arising out of a family dispute over the parking of a vehicle. The petitioner is arrayed as A2. According to the

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

prosecution, after a quarrel over the parking of a vehicle in front of the defacto complainant's brother house, the first petitioner and the petitioner waylaid the defacto complainant and attacked him with a wooden log, causing grievious injuries. The petitioner was arrested and has been in judicial custody since 17.09.2026. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the co-accused, one Meganathan, was granted bail by this Court in Crl.OP.No.30380 of 2026 and that another co-accused, father of A1 was granted anticipatory bail by the Sessions Court. However, it is seen that no overt act has been attributed to those two accused. This Court has perused the FIR, wherein it is seen that the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant with an iron rod. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the fact that the co-accused has already been granted bail and the period of incarceration already undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Arakkonam,** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police twice daily at 10.30 a.m., and 5.30 p.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

12-11-2026

DRL

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Arakkonam.

2. The Inspector of Police
Nemili Police Station,
Ranipet District.

3.The Superintendent,
Sub Jail, Arakkonam.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 32997 of 2026

12-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis