WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32618 of 2026

Sumesh,
S/o.Raman,
Chathamangalam Post,
Poolcode, Kozhikode,
Kerala-673601. ...Petitioner/4th Accused

Vs

The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police
Vellakkovil Police Station,
Tiruppur District. ...Respondent/Complainant
(Crime No.429 of 2026)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No.429 of 2026 pending on the file of Inspector of Police, Vellakkovil Police Station, Tiruppur District.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.C.D.Sugumar
For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Dr.S.S.Swaminathan

O R D E R

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 13.09.2026 for the alleged offence under Section 194(3) (iv), 103(1) and 309(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No.429 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that, as per the allegations contained in the First Information Report, on 06.09.2026, the petitioner, along with the other accused, allegedly went to the house of the deceased, namely Rajan, assaulted him and robbed a sum of Rs.68,625/- in cash, three silver coins and one gold stud, and thereafter fled from the scene of occurrence. Hence, the present petition.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since then. Hence, he prays for the grant of bail to the petitioner

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner, along with the other accused, trespassed into the house of the deceased, assaulted him and robbed cash of Rs.68,625/-, three silver coins and one gold stud. He further submitted that the investigation is in progress. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel for the intervenor also strongly opposed the grant of bail and submitted that teh deceased was running a chit fund company. Believing that the deceased was in possession of a substantial amount of money,

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

the accused persons joined together, conspired and committed robbery and murdered the deceased. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the manner in which the accused persons allegedly committed robbery and murder, and the specific allegations against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

10-11-2026

nvi

To

1.The Inspector of Police
Vellakkovil Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

nvi

CRL OP No. 32618 of 2026

10-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis