WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32456 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012664562026}

Manikandan N

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu Rep by Inspector of Police
Velipalayam Police Station,
Nagapattinam District.
Crime No. 361 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in the Crime No. 361 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s): Manne Ramya

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran, Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 335, 336, 336(3), 339, 340(2) and 341 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.361 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and others allegedly fabricated and produced fake surety documents, including property valuation certificates, during the bail proceedings in Nagore Police Station Crime No. 175 of 2026. Upon verification, the documents were found to be not genuine, pursuant to which the case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a practising advocate, is innocent, has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, and has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He further submitted that the investigation in this case is still pending.

5. The specific allegation against the petitioner/A1 is that he, along with two others, created forged revenue records for the purpose of furnishing surety and used forged seals of the Revenue Authorities in preparing the said documents. The petitioner is a practising advocate, who is considered an Officer of the Court, and is alleged to have produced forged revenue records in judicial proceedings. Considering the serious nature of the offence, this is not a fit case

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

for granting anticipatory bail. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

10-11-2026

MPA

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Velipalayam Police Station,
Nagapattinam District.
Crime No. 361 of 2026.

2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 32456 of 2026

10-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis