WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32522 of 2026

Arunagiri,
S/o.Ravi,
3/380, Bajanai Kovil Street,
Mazhavanthangal, Gingee,
Villupuram-605 701. ...Petitioner/Accused-2

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Vettavalam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District. ...Respondent/Complainant
(Crime No.192 of 2026)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Cr.No.192 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.R.Raji

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel,
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

O R D E R

The Petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.10.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections 305(a) and 331(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.192 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the proseuction, in brief, is that 18 sovereigns of gold jewellery and 1,050 grams of silver articles were stolen from the de-facto complainant's house on 27.09.2026. Subsequently, the stolen properties were recovered from A1 and the petitioner/A2, and the petitioner's two-wheeler, allegedly used for the commission of the offence, was also recovered. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 01.10.2026. He also further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that 18 sovereigns of gold jewellery and 1,050 grams of silver articles were stolen from the de-facto complainant's house on 27.09.2026. Subsequently, the stolen properties were recovered from A1 and the petitioner/A2, and the petitioner's two-wheeler, allegedly used for the commission of the offence, was also recovered. He further submitted that no previous case is pending against the petitoner. However, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the recovery of the stolen properties and the period of incarceration already undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on him executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kilpennathur, Tiruvannamalai District,** and on further conditions that:

> [a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;
>
> **[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;**
>
> [c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
>
> [d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in acc with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

10-11-2026

nvi

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1.The District Munsif cum Judicial
Magistrate, Kilpennathur, Tiruvannamalai
District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Vettavalam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Vellore.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

nvi

CRL OP No. 32522 of 2026

10-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis