WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32480 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012660602026}

Madhan Kumar

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep by The Inspector of Police
Vikravadi Police Station,
Villupuram District.
Crime No. 485 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest, pending investigation in Cr.No.485 of 2026, on the file of the Vikravandi Police Station, Villupuram and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s): Swetha R

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran, Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 74 & 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.485 of 2026, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner trespassed into the house of the *de facto* complainant during night hours, stole a 3-sovereign gold chain, and misbehaved with the daughter of the *de facto* complainant. When she raised an alarm, he was caught. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and the daughter of the *de facto* complainant were in love with each other, and since the petitioner belongs to a different community, this false complaint has been lodged against him. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police reiterated the prosecution's case and strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, and the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that there was a love affair between the petitioner and the *de facto* complainant's daughter and that the complaint was lodged due to communal differences, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Vikravandi,** on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only),** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety-ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10:30 A.M., until further orders;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner was released on

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

10-11-2026

MPA

To
1. The Judicial Magistrate, Vikravandi.

2. The Inspector of Police
Vikravadi Police Station,
Villupuram District.
Crime No. 485 of 2026.

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 32480 of 2026

10-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis