



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 29889 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 29889 of 2025

K.Vikram

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep by Inspector of Police
C2, Elephant Gate Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No. 385 of 2025.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No. 385 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.J.Ranjith Kumar for
M/s.Surana And Surana G Kalyan Jhabakh

For Respondent(s): Mr.P.Dhileepan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence **under Sections 316(5) and 318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) Act, 2023** in **Crime No.385 of 2025**, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. It is the case of the prosecution that the de facto complainant visited M/s.Bikshu Jewels and entrusted 200 grams of gold coin for making designed ornament jewels for Diwali celebration, however, when the de facto complainant again visited the shop, the petitioner threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the de-facto complainant. He further submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Though the learned counsel for the intervenor opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, considering the totality of the circumstances and nature of allegations put forth against the petitioner and the date of registration of the First Information Report, this Court is of the view that



custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required at this length of time, hence this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned VIII Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the



conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

25-03-2026
1/2

rap



To

WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police
C2, Elephant Gate Police Station,
Chennai.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.
3. The VIII Metropolitan Magistrate,
George Town, Chennai



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 29889 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

rap

CRL OP No. 29889 of 2025

25-03-2026

1/2