WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP No. 32674 of 2026

CNR:{HCMA012634262026}

Case QR

1. G.Chandrasekaran
   S/o Govindan,
   Residing at,
   D.No.50, Bharathidasan Street,
   Surampatti, Erode.

2. S.Kavitha
   W/o G.Chandrasekaran,
   Residing at,
   D.No.50, Bharathidasan Street,
   Surampatti, Erode.

3. C.Janarthan
   S/o G.Chandrasekaran,
   Residing at,
   D.No.50, Bharathidasan Street,
   Surampatti, Erode.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police
   Erode.

2. The Inspector Of Police
   Taluk Police Station,
   Rangampalayam, Erode.

3. The Inspector Of Police
   South Police Station,
   Surampatti, Erode.

4. The Inspector Of Police
   Erode Town Police Station, Erode.

..Respondent(s)

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to direct the respondents not to harass the petitioners under the guise of surveillance or enquiry.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.A.J.Mohamed Kassim

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents not to harass the petitioners under the guise of surveillance or enquiry.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the respondents police harassed the petitioners under the guise of enquiry.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents police submitted that on the complaint given by the *de facto* complainant, a case in Crime No.7 of 2026 has been registered against Hema and Vigneswaran and the same is pending on the file of the District Crime Branch Police Station, Erode. He further submitted that the petitioners herein are the family members of the accused in the aforesaid case.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioners that the respondents police have been harassing them under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquiry into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XIII of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Though the Sanhita empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioners have complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioners may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 179 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

c)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari** ***Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]*** shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and directions, the criminal original petition stands disposed of.

11-11-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

nsd

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
   Erode.

2. The Inspector of Police
   Taluk Police Station,
   Rangampalayam, Erode.

3. The Inspector of Police
   South Police Station,
   Surampatti, Erode.

4. The Inspector of Police
   Erode Town Police Station, Erode.

5. The Public Prosecutor,
   Madras High Court, Chennai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.

nsd

CRL OP No. 32674 of 2026

11-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis