WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32015 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012620562026}

Geetha
W/o Velmurugan,
No.81/1662, Om Muruga Nagar,
Kunnumuchi village,
Kiliyapattu post,
Tiruvannamalai District-604604.

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by the Inspector of police
Mangalam police station,
Thriuvanamalai District.
Crime No.121 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in crime no.121 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of police, Mangalam police station, Tiruvannamalai District.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Silambuselvan

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I. Khaleel
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.09.2026 for the alleged offences under Section 194(1) of BNSS @ Sections 331(4), 305(a) and 103(1) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.121 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with her husband, conspired to murder the deceased, Kuppu, who is her paternal grandmother, and steal her gold ornaments. Accordingly, on 29.08.2026, while the deceased was sleeping alone in the verandah of her house, they murdered her by suffocating her with a bedsheet and stole her gold ornaments. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case and that she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 02.09.2026 and that she is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the deceased is the paternal grandmother of the petitioner. He further submitted that it is case of murder for gain, that the properties have been seized and that the investigation is still pending. However, he opposed the grant of bail to the Petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

perused the materials available on record.

6. It is a case of murder. The deceased is the paternal grandmother of the petitioner. The allegation against the petitioner is that she, along with her husband, murdered the deceased by suffocating her with bedsheet and stolen her gold ornaments. The petitioner has been in judicial custody since 02.09.2026 and the investigation is still pending. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that she has a 3 years old child to take care of. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the period of incarceration already undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Thiruvannamalai,** and on further conditions that:

> [a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;
>
> **[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;**

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in acc with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

04-11-2026

DRL

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.2,
Thiruvannamalai.

2. The Inspector of police
Mangalam police station,
Thriuvanamalai District.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison for Women,
Vellore.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 32015 of 2026

04-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis