WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32029 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012613942026}

Jayaprakash

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep. by its Inspector of Police
All Women Police Station,
Arakkonam, Ranipet, Tamilnadu.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail with respect to Cr.No.100/2026 or pass any such or other orders as this Honble Court deems fit in the facts and circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): R.Jawahar
For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel, Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.09.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections 17, 5(j)(ii), 5(1), 6(1) of POCSO Act 2012 and 351(2), 64(2)(m), 87, 88 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.100 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner took the victim child to a forest area and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault, and a week later, he again committed the same offence. Hence, the present case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 01.09.2026, is ready to cooperate with the investigation, and will abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for the grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police strongly opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner. He reiterated the prosecution's case and submitted that the investigation is still pending.

5. Considering the serious nature of the allegations, and noting that the victim child is aged about 16 years while the petitioner is aged about 26 years. I have perused the statement of the victim child. It is stated therein that the petitioner took the victim child to a forest area and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault, and subsequently, within a week, committed the

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

same offence again. Given that the investigation is still underway, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

04-11-2026

MPA

To
The Inspector of Police
All Women Police Station,
Arakkonam, Ranipet, Tamilnadu.

2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 32029 of 2026

04-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis