WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 31607 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012608562026}

1. S. Gandeepan

2. G. Revathi suba

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
W7-AWPS Inspector of Police,
Kanathur police station, Chengalpattu District.
Cr. No. 162 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNS to enlarge the petitioners on Anticipatory Bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.162 of 2026 pending before the respondent police for the offence under secs 69 and 79 of BNS.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S N Subramani

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran, Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 69 & 79 of BNS 2023, in Crime No.162 of 2026, seek anticipatory bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant came into contact with the accused, Kiran Siva, through Snapchat and developed an intimate relationship with him on his promise of marriage. On 08.09.2026, the accused allegedly had sexual intercourse with her on the false promise of marriage and obtained Rs.30,000/- from her. Subsequently, when she questioned him about his proposed marriage with another woman, he along with his parents and relatives, allegedly abused and assaulted her, attempted to snatch her mobile phone and threatened her with dire consequences. Hence, she lodged a complaint, based on which a case was registered by the respondent police against the petitioners.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are the parents of Kiran Siva and have not caused any injury as alleged in the FIR. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to co-operate with the investigation and have not collected any money from the defacto complainant. However they are willing to return the amount and prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the first accused/ Kiran Siva was already arrested by the respondent police and he is

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

in judicial custody from 15.10.2026. Hence, he opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.This Court heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegations against the petitioners and their relationship with the first accused, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate cum District Munsif, Solinganallur,** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];**

[**f**] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

04-11-2026

GBI

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate cum District Munsif, Solinganallur.

2.The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
W7-AWPS Inspector of Police,
Kanathur police station, Chengalpattu District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

GBI

CRL OP No. 31607 of 2026

04-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis