WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32002 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012604692026}

Antony Simon Daniel Jayasing
S/o Jayasing,
2/256, Madurai veeran Kovil street,
Malumichampatti,
Coimbatore-641050

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by the Inspector of police
D1 Ramanathapuram Police Station,
Coimbatore City.
Crime No.284 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending disposal in crime no.284 of 2026 dated 21/09/2026 on the file of D1 Ramanthapuram police Station, Coimbatore city.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.Saravanakumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 21.09.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections 140(2), 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 308(5) & 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.284 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and his brother were engaged in online trading with the accused, resulting in a loss of Rs.6 lakhs. Due to a dispute over pledged gold jewellery, the accused allegedly kidnapped the defacto complainant's son, wrongfully confined and assaulted him, and demanded Rs.5,00,000/- for his release. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent, aged 28 years, and has been falsely implicated in the present case and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the co-accused/A2 was granted bail by the learned Principal District Court of Coimbatore in CMP No.3849 of 2026 and A3 and A4 were already been granted bail by this Court in Crl.OP.Nos.29055 and 29889 of 2026 respectively. He further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 21.09.2026 and that he is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police countered the arguments by reiterating the prosecution's case and submitted that the petitioner, along with the other accused, acted with the intent to commit murder, cause grievous hurt, or hold the victim for ransom. He further submitted that the petitioner, along with the

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

other accused, had assaulted the victim using their hands and a knife, thereby causing simple injuries, and subsequently demanded a sum of Rs. 5,00,000/- for his release, threatening him with dire consequences. It was also submitted that, apart from the present case, the petitioner is already involved in another criminal case, namely Crime No.430 of 2024 at the Kuniyamuthur Police Station and the investigation is still underway, he strongly opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the allegation, investigation is pending and the co-accused have already granted bail, and also considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Coimbatore,** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

[Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in acc with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

04-11-2026

DRL

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.6, Coimbatore.

2.The Inspector of police
D1 Ramanathapuram police station,
Coimbatore City

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Coimbatore.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 32002 of 2026

04-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis