WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32011 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012603542026}

T.Pollack Mandal @ Prahalad Mandal
S/o Rabi Mandel,
Bhim tola, Chari Annatapur,
Charianantapur, Malda,
Kaliachak-III,
West Bengal-732201
Presently confined at central prison, Puzhal-I

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by the Inspector of police
CB-CID Chennai,
Crime no.293 of 2009

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in SC.No.301 of 2026 pending on the file of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu, arising out of crime no.293 of 2009 on the file of the respondent police

For Petitioner(s): Ambruse L
For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel, Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.06.2026 for the offences punishable under sections 34, 489-B and 489-C of IPC in Crime No.293 of 2009 in S.C.No.301 of 2026 on the file of the learned

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Additional District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu, seeks bail.

2. The petitioner was earlier arrested and released on bail. Subsequently, he jumped bail, and a Non-Bailable Warrant was executed on 20.11.2017. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was not served with summons and was therefore unable to appear before the Court below. He further submitted that since the Non-Bailable Warrant has now been executed, the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court, and hence prayed for the grant of bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that due to the petitioner's abscondence, the trial was stalled. He further submitted that the petitioner was originally granted bail but subsequently went absconding, leading to surety action being taken. Thereafter, he was apprehended in West Bengal. Hence, the learned Government Advocate opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), this Court notes that the submission made by the learned counsel for the

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

petitioner claiming he was not served with summons is not true. There are absolutely no reasons stated as to why he failed to appear from 2017 to 2026. Now that the case is posted to 04.11.2026 for the examination of LW1, and the trial is at an advanced stage, this Court is of the view that it is not desirable to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. The Trial Court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at the earliest.

04-11-2026

MPA

To
The Inspector of police
CB-CID Chennai,
Crime no.293 of 2009

The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 32011 of 2026

04-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis