



WEB COPY

TR CMP No. 1208 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

TR CMP No. 1208 of 2025 &

CMP.No. 27546 of 2025

Kavitha

D/o. JayaKumar, No.9, Kothari Nagar Ext, Ford
Opposite, Peramanur, Maraimalar Nagar,
Kanchipuram. Currently Residing at No.190,
Thiru.Vi.Ka.Nagar, Perambur, Chennai-600 011.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Vijaya Mohan

S/o. Shakthi vel, No.590/A Variyar Street, S.B.S.
Nagar, Villupuram.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 praying to withdraw and transfer the H.M.O.P.No.124 of 2025 pending before the Honble Family Court Judge at Villupuram to 1st Additional Family Court, Chennai and pass such further or other orders as this Honble Court.

For Petitioner(s): MS.D.Rajalakshmi

For Respondent(s): MR.S.ARUN PRASATH




ORDER

WEB COPY

This petition has been filed seeking to withdraw the case in HMOP.No. 124 of 2025 pending on the file of the Family Court, Villupuram, and the same may be transferred to the I Additional Family Court, Chennai.

2. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/wife would submit that the petitioner/wife got married to the respondent/husband on 19.11.2025 at Maraimalai Nagar Aazhvar Palace, as per Hindu Religious and Customs. Thereafter, there was some misunderstanding between the petitioner and the respondent. The respondent/husband was working as a Senior Administrator, WIPRO Technology Pvt Limited, Sholinganallur, Chennai. The respondent/husband filed a HMOP.No. 124 of 2025 on the file of Family Court, Villupuram, for declaring that the marriage of husband solemnized with the wife on 16.11.2023 as null and void. The petitioner/wife herein is residing at No.190, Thiru.vi.ka.Nagar, Perambur, Chennai-600 011. The petitioner/wife is residing along with her parents. Therefore, the petitioner/wife herein would not be able to travel to Family Court, Villupuram, for attending each and every hearing of the case, since the petitioner/wife needs to travel 176.3 kms. Therefore, the case in H.M.O.P.No.124 of 2025 may be withdrawn from the file



of the Family Court, Villupuram, and transferred to the file of Family Court, I
Additional Family Court, Chennai.

WEB COPY

4. I have gone through the affidavit filed in support this petition and I find merit in the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. At this juncture, it may be apposite to cite the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in **N.C.V.Aishwarya vs. A.S.Saravana Karthik** (**MANU/SC/1211/2022 : 2022 Live Law (SC) 627**) held at Para Nos.9 and 10, which reads as under:-

"9. The cardinal principle for exercise of power under section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is that the ends of justice should demand the transfer of the suit, appeal or other proceeding. In matrimonial matters, wherever Courts are called upon to consider the plea of transfer, the Courts have to take into consideration the economic soundness of both the parties, the social strata of the spouses and their behavioural pattern, their standard of life prior to the marriage and subsequent thereto and the circumstances of both the parties in eking out their livelihood and under whose protective umbrella they are seeking their sustenance to life. Given the prevailing socio-economic paradigm in the Indian society, generally, it is the wife's convenience which must be looked at while considering transfer. (emphasis supplied)

10. Further, when two or more proceedings are pending in different Courts between the same parties which raise common question of fact and law, and when the decisions in the cases are interdependent, it is desirable that they should be tried together by the same Judge so as to avoid multiplicity in trial of the same issues and conflict of decisions".

6. It is also relevant to refer the decision made by the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in TR.CMP(MD)No.108 of 2010 dated 03.03.2011, wherein, it has observed as below:-



WEB COPY

"18.It is true that section 19 of the Hindu Marriage Act, has been amended by insertion of proviso of (iii)(a) to section 19. Of Course, this amended section 19(iii)(a) gives special preference to the wife to file a petition or defending the case of the husband before the Court within whose jurisdiction she resides. The intention of the legislator is to safe-guard the interest and rights of the women, who are being subjected to harassment and cruelty. But this special preference conferred under section 19 (iii)(a) of the Hindu Marriage Act shall not be used to wreck vengeance on the husband. There must be a justifiable cause to select the jurisdiction of the Court where she resides."

7. In the light of the proposition laid down in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *N.C.V.Aishwarya* case cited *supra* and also in the light of the observation made by this Court, wherein, it has been held that convenience of the wife has to be considered, while transferring the case from one Court to another, there can be no impediment for allowing this petition as prayed for.

8. Accordingly, this Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is allowed. The case in HMOP.No. 124 of 2025 is hereby withdrawn from the file of the Family Court, Villupuram, and transferred to the file of the I Additional Family Court, Chennai. The respondent/husband shall appear before the Court below for trial and also through Video Conferencing Mode as and when required. No costs. Consequently, connected Civil Miscellaneous Petition is closed.


23.06.2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MSM



To

1. The Family Court Judge, Villupuram.

2. The I Additional Family Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY

TR CMP No. 1208 of 2



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

MSM

TR CMP No. 1208 of 2025

23-06-2026