WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.11.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL.O.P.No.31499 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012590062026}

Ramadoss

Case QR

..Petitioner

Vs

The State Rep by The Inspector of Police,
CBCID Police Station,
Cuddalore.

..Respondent

PRAYER: To enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime No.1 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police station.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.M.Rahamath Ali

For Respondent : Mr.M.M.I. Khaleel,
Government Advocate (Crl.side).

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.1 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, registered for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 465, 467, 468, 471, 420 and 506(i) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. The petitioner was arrested on 20.08.2026 and has been in judicial custody since then.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

2. The case of the prosecution is that this is a case of job racketeering involving forged High Court appointment orders dating back to the year 2022. It is alleged that Accused No.1 and Accused No.2 collected a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- from the de-facto complainant by promising to secure her the post of Office Assistant in the High Court and subsequently produced a forged appointment order purportedly bearing the signature of a Hon'ble High Court Judge. Based on a detailed internal enquiry conducted by the High Court Registry and a subsequent complaint lodged by the Registry, the present First Information Report (FIR) was registered on 05.08.2026. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent, has been falsely implicated, and has no direct nexus with the main conspirators or the preparation of the allegedly forged documents. He further submits that the petitioner has been suffering continuous judicial custody since 20.08.2026 and prays for the grant of bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent strongly opposes the grant of bail. He submits that a specific and active role has been attributed to this petitioner, who acted as the agent on behalf of A1 and A2 to approach gullible victims. He highlights that mock interviews were audaciously conducted within the High Court premises, and forged appointment orders were shown to the victims to gain their trust. The alleged crime money was directly entrusted to the petitioner by the victims. He

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

points out that so far, three separate complaints have been received against the syndicate, and the total amount paid to A1 and A2 towards securing illicit employment amounts to Rs.6,00,000/-. Since the investigation is actively pending and the involvement of other accused persons is under investigation, he prays for the dismissal of this petition.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent, and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the gravity of the offense, the heinous nature of the scam, and the specific role attributed to the petitioner, this Court takes a very serious view of the matter. The allegations involve job racketeering where innocent job seekers were defrauded using forged appointment orders issued in the name of this very Institution, carrying the simulated signature of a Hon'ble High Court Judge. The petitioner is actively involved in approaching the victims, collecting the money, and facilitating mock interviews inside the Court campus.

7. In view of the fact that the investigation is still in its infancy, the absolute necessity to unearth the entire network of individuals involved in this institutional forgery, and the severe impact such offenses have on public trust in the judiciary, this Court is of the opinion that releasing the petitioner at this stage would seriously prejudice the ongoing investigation. Consequently, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

N.RAMESH, J.

kmm

8. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

10.11.2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
kmm

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
CBCID Police Station,
Cuddalore.

2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

CRL.O.P.No.31499 of 2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis