Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 31462 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012578882026}

1. Kanagaraj
2. Dinakaran
3. Nagaraj
4. Soundararajan
5. Sekar
6. Elango

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police
Cheyyur Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
Crime No.273 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner an anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the Respondent in Cr.No.273 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent herein and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s):	Mr.Magesh Kumar G
For Respondent(s):	Mr.R.Rajasekaran Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For Intervenor	Mr.A.Murugavel

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS read with Section 4 of TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No.**273 of 2026** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that a dispute over property led the petitioners to assault the de facto complainant and his family members. Furthermore, the petitioners allegedly destroyed crops using a tractor and attempted to commit murder, which led to the filing of the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent, a false case has been foisted against them, and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the property in dispute extends to 95 cents and the title claim was adjudicated by the Revenue Divisional Officer (RDO) on 06.11.2024, who passed an order in favour of the petitioners. Aggrieved by this, the de facto complainant preferred an appeal before the District Revenue Officer (DRO), Chengalpattu, which is currently pending.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the civil nature of the land dispute between the parties, the pendency of other revenue proceedings, and the fact that the Revenue Divisional Officer (RDO) order stands in favour of the petitioners as on date, this Court is of the firm view that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur** on condition that **each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only),** with **two sureties each,** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

(a) If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety slip (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am until further orders;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

30-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
MFA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
   Cheyyur.

2. The Inspector of Police
   Cheyyur Police Station,
   Chengalpattu District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
   High Court, Chennai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 31462 of 2026

30-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis