WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K. SURENDER

WP No. 44390 of 2026

CNR:{HCMA012578812026}

Case QR

Angel Mary

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District collector
   Tiruvallur
   Tiruvallur District -602 001.
2. The Tahsildar
   Madhavaram Taluk (Taluk office)
   Tiruvallur District.

3. The Head Surveyor
   (Taluk Sureyor)survey and land Records
   Madhavaram Taluk
   Tiruvallur District.

4. The Inspector of Police
   Puzhal police station
   Tiruvallur District

..Respondent(s)

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus to direct the 2nd respondent to survey and measure the land in old survey No.623,and New Survey No.36, Retty street, Madhavaram Village, Madhavaram Taluk, Tiruvallur District to an extent of 1519 sq.ft in pursuance of the petitioners application No.2026/0178/02/000815 to identify and demarcate its correct boundaries and fix boundary stones with the aid of 4th respondent.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.Manimaran
For Respondent(s): Mr.Bhagavath Krishnan Additional Government Pleader for R1 to R3

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Mr.Durai Gunasekaran Government Counsel (Crl.Side) for R4

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the 2nd Respondent to survey and measure the land in Old Survey No. 623, New Survey No. 36, Retty Street, Madhavaram Village, Madhavaram Taluk, Tiruvallur District, to an extent of 1519 sq. ft. in pursuance of the Petitioner's online Application No. 2026/0178/02/000815 dated 31.08.2026, to identify and demarcate its boundaries, and to fix boundary stones with the aid of the 4th Respondent within a specified time frame.

2. According to the petitioner, her late husband Murugan acquired the subject house site measuring 1519 sq. ft. in Natham Survey No. 623 via a registered Settlement Deed dated 05.08.2002 registered as Document No. 5412 of 2002 at SRO, Sembiyam, and was in continuous possession thereof. Following his demise on 19.05.2011 and the subsequent demise of her mother-in-law, Roshammal, on 30.11.2017, the petitioner states that adjoining landowners have been attempting to illegally encroach upon the property due to her vulnerable situation as a widow. To permanently protect her holding and establish clear boundaries, the petitioner preferred an online application via Application No. 2026/0178/02/000815 on 31.08.2026 and remitted the statutory

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

fee of Rs. 860/-. Since no action was taken by the revenue officials to perform their public duties, she approached this Court.

3. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 submits that the online application submitted by the petitioner has already been considered by the official respondents and rejected on the ground that the petitioner does not possess or hold any valid patta for the said Natham land. It is further submitted that in the absence of a mutated patta standing in the name of the claimant, the question of surveying the property under the relevant revenue survey regulations does not arise at this stage.

4. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

5. Taking note of the submissions made by the learned Additional Government Pleader that the online application submitted by the petitioner has already been rejected by the authorities, the main relief sought for in this Writ Petition to consider the application cannot be entertained. However, if the petitioner is aggrieved by the stand taken by the revenue authorities regarding the lack of patta or if she wishes to establish her rights based on the registered

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

settlement deed, it is open to her to challenge the rejection or apply for mutation before the appropriate authority.

6. In view of the above development, this Writ Petition is disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioner to seek her appropriate remedies and work out her grievance before the competent forum in accordance with law.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the claim made by the parties.

8. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

K.SURENDER J.
05-11-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

JAI

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The District collector
   Tiruvallur
   Tiruvallur District -602 001.
2. The Tahsildar
   Madhavaram Taluk (Taluk office)
   Tiruvallur District.

3. The Head Surveyor
   (Taluk Sureyor)survey and land Records
   Madhavaram Taluk
   Tiruvallur District.

4. The Inspector of Police
   Puzhal police station
   Tiruvallur District.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

K.SURENDER J.

JAI

WP No. 44390 of 2026

05-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis