Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 31225 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012573142026}

1. Govindaraj
   S/o Sambasivam,
   No.10/2, 7th Street,
   Gandhi Nagar,
   Kodungaiyur,
   Chennai.

2. Parameshwari

   W/o.Govindaraj
   No 10/2, 7th Street

   Gandhi Nagar,

   Kodungaiyur Chennai 600 118

3. Jayaprakash

   W/o Govindaraj,
   No.10/2, 7th Street,
   Gandhi Nagar,
   Kodungaiyur,
   Chennai.
   Jayaprakash

4. Kannan
   S/o Sambasivam,
   No.64, Pillaiyar Kovil Street,
   Kunnavalam Village,
   Thiruvallur Taluk and District.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. Balamani

W/o Sanmugam,
No.10/2, 7th Street,
Gandhu Nagar,
Kodungaiyur,
Chennai.
No 10 2 7th Street Gandhu Nagar Kodungaiyur
Chennai 600118

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Represented By its, Inspector of Police
B2 Taluk Police Station,
Tiruvallur,
Tiruvallur District.
Crime No. 363 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

To enlarge the Petitioners on bail in the event of the arrest in Crime No. 363 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. S. Vimal Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr. R. Rajasekaran, Government Advocate

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners/A1 to A5 apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), and 79 BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 363 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The allegation against the petitioners is that there was a land dispute between the petitioners and the de-facto complainant. The petitioners trespassed into the house of the de-facto complainant, assaulted her with wooden log using filthy/obscene language and threatened to her family with dire consequences. Hence, the respondent police registered a case against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that there was a civil dispute between the petitioners and the de-facto complainant. He further submitted that case-in-counter has been registered against the de-facto complainant. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and they are ready to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the petitioners trespassed into the house of the de-facto complainant, assaulted with wooden log using filthy language and threatened to

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

her family and she rushed to a hospital for treatment. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the nature of allegations; that the injured has been discharged from the hospital; and that the petitioners are not having previous case, this Court is inclined to enlarge them on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur,** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- each (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only),** with two sureties each, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

Order QR

WEB COPY

(c) that the petitioners/A2 & A5 are women and they shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(d) that the petitioners/A1, A3 & A4 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for 15 days.

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(f) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

28-10-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MSM

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur,
2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

3. The Inspector of Police
   B2 Taluk Police Station,
   Tiruvallur,
   Tiruvallur District.
   Crime NO. 363 of 2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MSM

CRL OP No. 31225 of 2026

28-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis