WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 31163 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012565952026}

K Ramaraj
S/o. Krishnan,
No.8/66, West Arundhadhiyar Street,
Senthamangalam,
Namakkal District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
Ashok Nagar Police Station,
T. NAGAR,
Chennai
(Crime No. 311 of 2026)

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No. 311 of 2026 on the file of the respondent and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. Camyles Gandhi W
For Respondent(s): Mr. R. Rajasekaran, Government Advocate

ORDER

The petitioner, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC in Crime No.311 of 2026, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that it is a case of job racketing. According to the complaint, the defacto complainant was assured of securing a Government job and, based on such assurance, paid a sum of Rs.10,00,000/-. Likewise, one Kalian paid a sum of Rs.6,00,000/- and one Arjunan paid a sum of Rs.6,00,000/-. Thus, a total sum of Rs.22,00,000/- was allegedly paid by the three victims to Kanthasamy and Guruskaya. Subsequently, the victims realized that they had been cheated. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has not committed any of the offences alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He also submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally three accused in this case and that the petitioner has been arrayed as A3. He further submitted that the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. On a perusal of the FIR, it is seen that the primary allegations are against the said Kanthasamy and Guruskaya. Insofar as the present petitioner is concerned, the allegation is only that he had accompanied the victims at the time of payment of the amounts.

7. Considering the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner and the limited role attributed to him in the alleged occurrence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned XVII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet,** on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only),** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

Order QR

WEB COPY

impression in the application for surety-ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10:30 A.M., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner was released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

28-10-2026

MPA

To
1. XVII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet.

2.The Inspector of Police
Ashok Nagar Police Station,
T. NAGAR,
Chennai
(Crime No. 311 of 2026)

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 31163 of 2026

28-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis