Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30920 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012565112026}

Annamalai

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police
Polur Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
Crime No.287 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Cr.No.287 of 2026 pending investigation on the file of the Respondent Police and pass such other orders deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.A Sathishkumar

For Respondent(s): Mr. R. Rajasekaran,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No.**287 of 2026** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant's husband died 12 years ago. The petitioner is the son of the de facto complainant's father-in-law's elder brother. Following her husband's death, the de facto complainant was in a relationship with the petitioner. Subsequently, she entered into a new relationship with one Ayyappan. Aggrieved by this, the petitioner allegedly consumed alcohol and harassed the de facto complainant, driving her to consume rat poison.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against the petitioner and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the dispute between the parties, and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side this Court is of the firm view that, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Polur** on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only),** with **two sureties each,** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a)If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety slip (Judicial Form

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

Order QR

WEB COPY

No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

27-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MFA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate
   Polur.

2. The Inspector of Police
   Polur Police Station,
   Tiruvannamalai District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
   High Court, Chennai

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 30920 of 2026

27-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis