WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30819 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012564612026}

1. Appu @ Nagaarjun,
   S/o Suresh,
   No.198E, Amman Kulam,
   Erimedu, Coimbatore South Taluk,
   Coimbatore District.

2. Leo Akash,
   S/o Paramasivam,
   No.29, Kondasamy Iyar Layout,
   Puliyakulam,
   Anna Nagar 1st Street,
   Amman Kulam,
   Coimbatore South Taluk,
   Coimbatore District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep.by its
The Inspector of Police,
D-1, Ramanathapuram Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
Crime No.237 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS Act, praying to enlarge the Petitioners on bail in Crime No.237 of 2026, on the file of the Respondent Police.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent(s): Mrs.R.S.Indira
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.08.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 126(2), 309(6), 311, and 351(3) of the BNS, 2023, read with Section 3(1) of the TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.237 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners (A1 and A2), along with two others, wrongfully restrained the de facto complainant, demanded money, assaulted him with a knife and other weapons, robbed Rs.1,500/-, criminally intimidated him, and damaged his motorcycle worth Rs.44,000/-. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners have been in judicial custody since 17.08.2026, and their further custodial interrogation is not required. He added that the petitioners are ready to cooperate with the court proceedings and abide by any stringent conditions imposed by this Court.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent opposed the grant of bail on the ground that A1 has four previous cases and A2 has five previous cases. She, however, submitted that the investigation has been completed and the charge sheet has also been filed.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police, and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners from 17.08.2026, and the fact that the investigation has been completed and the charge sheet has also been filed, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioners, subject to strict conditions to ensure their presence during the trial.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties,** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate-VI, Coimbatore,** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

23-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
CDA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate-VI, Coimbatore.

2.The Inspector of Police,
D-1, Ramanathapuram Police Station,
Coimbatore District.

3.The Central Prison, Coimbatore.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

CDA

CRL OP No. 30819 of 2026

23-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis