Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30993 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012564352026}

S.Sayathu Abdul Rahman
S/o. Samsudeen,
No.285-77, North Street,
Poolangal Post,
Aruppukottai Taluk,
Virudhunagar.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep.by, The Inspector of Police
CBCID Counterfeit Currency Wing (CCW),
Chennai.
Cr.No.1 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This petition is filed under section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime no.1 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Karthick S
For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I. Khaleel
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.09.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 180 of BNS @ Sections 179, 180, 61(2), 238(b) of BNS, in Crime No.01 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that based on secret information, regarding the possession of counterfeit currency notes, the respondent police conducted search at Triplicane, wherein they found the petitioner, along with other accused were in possession of 4400 nos. of counterfeit Indian currency notes of Rs.500/- denomination, 48 Nos. of currency notes of Rs.200/- denomination, 117 Nos. of currency notes of Rs.100/- denomination, total Rs.22,21,300/- and 500 Nos. of Euro currency notes of 50 Euro denomination and two mobile phones were seized from the accused. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that based on the confession statement of the co-accused, the petitioner herein was implicated as an accused. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner along with the co-accused were found in possession of High Quality Indian currency counterfeit notes. It is stated that the petitioner played a crucial role by funding the procurement and trafficking of counterfeit Indian currency notes by paying Rs.5 lakhs to the bank account of A1, which, according to the prosecution, is borne out by the bank account statements; that he has also

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

directly received counterfeit currency notes of Rs.1 lakh from A1 after A1 returned from Malaysia; and that the petitioner A2 / Srinivasan told A1 that the notes were not of good quality, and hence he destroyed the counterfeit currency notes of Rs.1 lakh that he received and also told A1 that he did not require the remaining counterfeit currency notes of Rs.11 lakhs, which were later seized from accused A3 and A1. He further submitted that in this case, the investigation completed and the charge sheet has been filed and the same was taken on file by the Trial Court. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the property has already been recovered, investigation completed and the charge sheet has also been filed and also taking into consideration the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Special Court to deal with cases of Fake Stamp Papers and Fake Indian Currency Cases, Egmore, Chennai,** and on further conditions that:

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

23-10-2026

SMN

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Metropolitan Magistrate, Special Court to deal with cases of Fake Stamp Papers and Fake Indian Currency Cases, Egmore, Chennai.

2. The Inspector of Police
CBCID CC Wing, Chennai.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

SMN

CRL OP No. 30993 of 2026

23-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis