WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30913 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012564242026}

1. Sriban @ Deepan
2. Yukesh @ Ukesh
3. Ajay
4. Sanjay @ Vaidheeswaranj
5. Vasanth @ Vasanthakumar
6. Rathinam @ Rathnakumar

..Petitioners

Vs

State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Town Police Station,
Ranipet District.
(Crime No.464 of 2026)

..Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No. 464 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police on such terms and conditions and as this Honourable Court.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M. Shimiyon Edwin
For Respondent(s): R.Rajasekaran
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 191(2), 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of the BNS Act, in Crime No.464 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police and seeks anticipatory bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant attended a temple festival during which a wordy quarrel arose between the first petitioner and the latter and that the de facto complainant, on his way home, was waylaid, assaulted, and abused by the petitioners. Hence, the case

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that there is a case and a counter-case and that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the case of the prosecution and submitted that the petitioners attacked the de facto complainant with a wooden log, resulting in injuries for which he was hospitalized and later discharged, and on these grounds opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and taking into account the submissions made by both sides and the fact that the de facto complainant has been discharged from hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Arakkonam** on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only),** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically canceled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety-ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10:30 A.M.,until further orders;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners is released on

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

23-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

VCA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
   Arakkonam Town Police Station,
   Ranipet District.
   (Crime No.464 of 2026)

2. The Judicial Magistrate,
   Court No.1, Arakkonam

3. The Public Prosecutor,
   High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

VCA

CRL OP No. 30913 of 2026

23-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis