Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30900 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012563412026}

1. Samraj
2. Loganathan
3. Vennila @ Vanitha
4. Munusamy
5. Sannammal @ Gnanam
6. Pushpa

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police
Marandahalli Police Station,
Dharmapuri District.
Crime no.221 of 2026. ..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory Bail in the event on their arrest by the Respondent Police in Crime no.221 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police in Crime no.221 of 2026 and pass such further or other orders.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Balakrishnan K

For Respondent(s): Mr. R.Rajasekaran,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.**221 of 2026** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to prior enmity arising from a land dispute between the parties, a wordy quarrel ensued between them, which escalated into a physical assault, thereby causing injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against the petitioner and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and submitted that a case and a counter-case have been registered against the parties. He further submitted that the petitioners initiated a wordy quarrel with the de facto complainant, abused her in filthy language, and assaulted her with a

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

Order QR

WEB COPY

knife, resulting in severe head injuries requiring nearly 40 stitches. While he fairly conceded that the petitioners have no prior criminal antecedents, he nonetheless opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to them given the gravity of the offense and the nature of the injuries.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6.Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, the gravity of the offence, and the specific overt acts attributed to the petitioners, this Court finds that the allegations are serious in nature. The de facto complainant sustained severe head injuries requiring extensive medical intervention, including nearly 40 stitches. Given the weapon used, the nature of the injuries, and the fact that a case and a counter-case have been registered, a thorough investigation is imperative, and the possibility of requiring custodial interrogation cannot be ruled out. Under such circumstances, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case for exercising discretion under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. / Section 482 of the BNSS. Accordingly, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, and the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed

23-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
MFA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Palacode.

2.The Inspector of Police
Marandahalli Police Station,
Dharmapuri District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Chennai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 30900 of 2026

23-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis