WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30746 of 2026

CNR:{HCMA012562162026}

John Bose

..Petitioner

Vs

State Rep.by its,
The Inspector of Police
F2, Egmore Police Station,
Chennai.

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner / Accused, on bail in connection with Cr.No.409 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner: Ms.S.Nalini

For Respondent: Ms.R.S.Indira
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.08.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 61(2), 318(4), 336(3), 340(2) of BNS in Crime No.409 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has cheating the de

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

facto complainant to the tune of Rs.8,72,471/-. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is an employee of the firm. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner is a Director of the company and that he has been incarcerated since 28.08.2026. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case; taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side); it is evident that petitioner has engaged in cheating involving substantial amount of money.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

While the trial Court granted bail to the co-accused on the grounds that they were merely employees of the company, whereas the petitioner stands on a different footing as the Director of the subject company. Hence, considering the nature of offence and the specific role of this petitioner, this Court is of the firm view that it is not appropriate to consider the bail application of the petitioner. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

23-10-2026

SHL

To:

1.The Inspector of Police
F2, Egmore Police Station,
Chennai.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 30746 of 2026

23-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis