WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30846 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012562032026}

Praveen Kumar
S/o. Rathina Kumar,
No. 33/79, Sanjeevirayan Kovil Street,
Washermenpet Post,
Pallikaranai District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep.by, The Inspector of Police
Perumbakkam Police Station,
Chennai District
(Crime No. 411 of 2026)

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory Bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime No. 411 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, pending investigation.

For Petitioner(s): S.Kaviya
For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran, Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 3(2)(a), 4(1), 5(1)(a) of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956, in Crime No.411 of 2026 the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with other accused, was involved in immoral trafficking activities in his SPA. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent, has not committed any of the alleged offences, and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is merely the owner of the spa, which was managed by one Manikandan, who has already been arrested and released on bail. It is further submitted that three other co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail by the Sessions Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the case of the prosecution and, on instructions, submitted that no previous case is pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner

5. Considering the nature of the allegation, the fact that the co-accused have already been released on bail, and taking into account that there are no adverse antecedents against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Alandur,** on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only),** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety-ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10:30 A.M., for a period of two weeks, and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

23-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MPA

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Alandur.

2. The Inspector of Police
Perumbakkam Police Station,
Chennai District
(Crime No. 411 of 2026)

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 30846 of 2026

23-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis