Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30776 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012561992026}

Ajai David,
S/o Kirubanandam,
No.57, 8th Street,
Chambers Colony,
Chromepet,
Kancheepuram-600 044.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Avadi, Thiruvallur District.
Crime No.31 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail, pending investigation in Cr.No.31 of 2026 on the file of Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Avadi, Thiruvallur District, and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.C.Arivazhagan

For Respondent(s): Mrs.R.S.Indira
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.09.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 5(l) read with Sections 6(1) and 15(1) of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act,

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2012, in Crime No.31 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, has filed this petition seeking bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner repeatedly committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on two victims, aged 16 and 15 years at the time of the alleged offences. The petitioner allegedly recorded the incidents on his mobile phone and used the videos to compel one of the victims to engage in sexual intercourse with him. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 12.09.2026 and that his further custodial interrogation is not required. He also submitted that the petitioner is ready to cooperate with the investigation and abide by any stringent conditions imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police produced the statement recorded under Section 183(5) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and opposed the grant of bail, submitting that the allegations are grave and that the investigation is not yet complete. She

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

further submitted that, if released on bail, the petitioner may tamper with the evidence. Hence, she prayed for dismissal of the bail petition.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the age of the victims, the alleged repeated aggravated penetrative sexual assault, and the alleged recording of the incidents and use of the videos to compel one of the victims to engage in sexual intercourse, and the fact that the investigation is still in progress, this Court is of the view that the petitioner is not entitled to bail at this stage. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

23-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
CDA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

CDA

To

1.The Sessions Judge, Special Court for
Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Thiruvallur.

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Avadi, Thiruvallur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

CRL OP No. 30776 of 2026

23-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis