WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30754 of 2026

CNR:{HCMA012561452026}

Sasidharan
S/o. Selvapandian,
No.32-251D,
Asari Street, Thummichampatti,
Oddanchatram, Dindigul.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep.by, The Inspector of Police
Tiruppur North Police Station,
Tiruppur.
Cr.No.676 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to direct to release the petitioner on Anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in Cr.No.676 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Tiruppur North Police Station, Tiruppur.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Thinesh P

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran,
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Crl. Side)

Order

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 304(2), 309(6) of BNS, in Crime No.676 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that petitioner, along with the other co-accused, had robbed the defacto complainant's Suzuki Access bike bearing Registration No.TN67 CZ 6676, Moto Cell phone, and a cash of Rs.2,300/-. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that as per the FIR, all the other accused, except the petitioner, had been arrested. The petitioner is an innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. He is ready and willing to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and, therefore prayed that anticipatory bail may be granted.

4.Per contra, the learned Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Crl. Side) opposed the petition, contending that after their arrest, the arrested accused had given confession statements, based on which the petitioner was implicated in the case. It was further submitted that the petitioner had assisted the other accused in the commission of the offence and that the allegations levelled against him are serious in nature, as the case involves an offence of robbery. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the prosecution submitted that the petitioner is not entitled to the relief sought for.

5.This Court has considered the rival submissions made on either side and perused the materials available on record.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

Order QR

WEB COPY

6.A perusal of the materials placed before this Court indiciates that the case involves an allegation of robbery, which is a serious offence affecting the safety and security of the victim. The nature and gravity of the accusation, the circumstance in which the alleged occurrence took place, and the specific role attributed to the petitioner are relevant considerations while deciding a petition seeking anticipatory bail.

7.Having regard to the nature and seriousness of the allegations and the circumstances of the case, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner has not made out sufficient grounds for the grant of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, this Court is not inclined to exercise its discretionary jurisdiction in favour of the petitioner.

8.In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

23-10-2026

AH

To

1.The Inspector of Police
Tiruppur North Police Station,
Tiruppur.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH J.

AH

CRL OP No. 30754 of 2026

23-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis