WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30740 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012560362026}

Sahadevan

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By,
The Inspector of Police
Thiruvannamalai West Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Crime No.246 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This Criminal original petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on Bail in the event of Arrest in Crime No.246 of 2026, on the file of the inspector of Police, Thiruvannamalai West Police Station,Thiruvannamalai District and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. Silambu Selvan S

For Respondent(s): Mr. R. Rajasekaran,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS read with Section 4 of TNPWH Act in Crime No.**246 of 2026** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to a property dispute between the family members, a wordy quarrel arose between them which escalated into an assault.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against the petitioner and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are two accused in total in this case, and that the petitioner and the de facto complainant are relatives. He fairly submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents; however, he opposed the enlargement of the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the civil nature of the dispute, the absence of any criminal antecedents against the petitioner, and the familial

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

relationship between the parties, this Court is of the firm view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvannamalai** on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only),** with **two sureties each,** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a)If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety slip (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required;**

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

27-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MFA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
   Tiruvannamalai.

2. The Inspector of Police
   Thiruvannamalai West Police Station,
   Thiruvannamalai District

3. The Public Prosecutor,
   High Court, Chennai

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 30740 of 2026

27-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis