Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30847 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012560072026}

1. Kasiviswanathan
2. Ravikumar
3. Suganesh
4. Hari

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By,
The Inspector of Police
Yelagiri Police Station,
Tirupattur District.
Crime No.58 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER :

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime.No.58/2026 pending investigation on the file of the respondent and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. E.Kannadasan

For Respondent(s): Mr. R.Rajasekaran,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 224, 351(2) of BNS and Section 3 of Tamil Nadu Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act **in Crime No.58 of 2026** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant is employed in the Electricity Department. While he was constructing a wall to install a transformer with the assistance of a mason, the petitioner engaged in a wordy quarrel with him, attacked the workers with stones, and threatened them with dire consequences. Later, when they resumed their official duties, the petitioner again prevented them from discharging their work and demolished the construction and also abused them in filthy language, and threatened them with dire consequences.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and a false case has been foisted against them and that they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the nature of dispute between the parties, this Court is of the firm view that, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Tirupattur** on condition that **each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only),** with **two sureties each,** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a)If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety slip (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

23-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MFA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Tirupattur

2.The Inspector of Police
Yelagiri Police Station,
Tirupattur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Chennai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 30847 of 2026

23-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis