Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30699 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012560052026}

1. Jothi
2. Meenatchi
3. Ranjith
4. Sathish kumar
5. Nethra

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By,
The Inspector of Police
The Inspector of Police ,
C-1 Flower Bazzar Police Station,
Chennai.
Cr.No. 206 / 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER:

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under section 482 of BNSS praying to enlarge petitioners on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police pending investigation in Crime No.206 /2026 on the file of respondent police and thus render justice.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr. T YUVARESH

For Respondent(s): Mr. R. Rajasekaran,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 296(b), 118(1) 125, 351(3) of BNS and 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman (Amendment) Act **in Crime No.206 of 2026** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to a dispute that arose between the two families in connection with a love affair between two of their members, the petitioners abused the de facto complainant using filthy language and criminally intimidated her.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and a false case has been foisted against them and that they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. He reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that that a case and counter-case have also been registered between the parties. He opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the dispute between the parties, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, and the fact that a case and counter-case have been registered between them, this Court is of the firm view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned III Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai** on condition that **each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only),** with **two sureties each,** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

(a)If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety slip (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners 1, 2 & 5 shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation; The petitioners 3 and 4 shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

(e) If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

27-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MFA

To

1. The III Metropolitan Magistrate,
   George Town, Chennai.

2. The Inspector of Police
   C-1Flower bazzar Police Station,
   Chennai.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
   High Court, Chennai

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 30699 of 2026

27-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis