WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 31230 of 2026

1.Sundaramoorthy,
S/o.Sethuraman

2.Vairamuthu,
S/o.Baskar ...Petitioners/Accused 1 and 3

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Mayiladuthurai Police Station,
Mayiladuthurai District. ...Respondent/Complainant
Crime No.817 of 2026

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Cr.No.817 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Esakkimuthu
For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.09.2026 for the alleged offence under Section 296(b), 118(1), 103, 351(3), 61(2) of BNS Act & 4 of TNPHW Act & 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act, 2003, in Crime No.817 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 06.09.2026, the petitioners and other accused allegedly demanded interest on a loan of Rs.40,000/-, abused and assaulted the de-facto complainant and her family members with wooden logs and iron rods, and threatened them with dire consequences. Subsequently, the de-facto complainant's husband died. Hence, the resopndent police registered the present case against the petitioners and the other accused.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners have been in judicial custody since 07.09.2026. He further submitted that the co-accused have already been arrested and released on bail and the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the Petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) opposed the grant of bail and submitted that the petitioners, along with the other accused, had allegedly assaulted the de-facto complainant and her family members with wooden logs and iron rods over a loan interest dispute, resulting in the death of the de-facto complainant's husband. He further submitted that the investigation is in progress and hence, he sought dismissal of the bail petition.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the specific overt acts attributed to the accused, and the fact that the incident allegedly resulted in the death of the de-facto complainant's husband, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners at this stage.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

28-10-2026

nvi

To

1.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Mayiladuthurai Police Station,
Mayiladuthurai District

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

nvi

CRL OP No. 31230 of 2026

28-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis