WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 43993 of 2026
CNR:{HCMA012547252026}
and
WMP Nos. 49355 & 49356 of 2026

Adhinarayanan Muthuraj
Proprietor of M/s SRI SANISWARA TRADERS,
No. 3/72, Chettipalayam Road, Kallapalayam,
Coimbatore,
Tamil Nadu 641201

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Commercial Tax Officer/ The State Tax Officer,
Palladam 2 Assessment Circle,
Palladam, Tiruppur I, Erode,
Tamil Nadu

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records on the file of the respondent and quash the Impugned Order under section 74 of the Tamil Nadu Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017/Central Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017 including the Summary of the Order in Form GST DRC-07 both dated 24.02.2023 and having Reference Number No. ZD3302231223243 in GSTIN: 33CCMPM6942H1ZB passed by the respondent for the FY 2019-20.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. M.Ramesh

For Respondent(s): Mr. I.Dinesh, Additional Government Pleader (Tax)

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 24.02.2023 passed by the respondent.

2. Mr.I.Dinesh, learned Additional Government Pleader (Taxes) takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent under the "View Additional Notices and Orders" column in the GST common portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Therefore, this petition has been filed.

4. Further, he would submit that the respondent has already recovered entire amount of the disputed tax amount from the petitioner. Hence, he requests this Court to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to present their case before

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

the respondent by setting aside the impugned order.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Government Pleader (Taxes) appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent had uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, he has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, he requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader (Taxes) for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

7. In the case on hand, it is evident that the show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, he was not aware of the issuance of the said show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

8. No doubt, sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, despite the fact that there is no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only amount to fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well.

9. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act. Therefore, this Court finds that there has been a lack of effort in applying the available opportunities to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively on the petitioner.

10. Further, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

the respondent has already recovered entire amount of the disputed tax amount from the petitioner. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 24.02.2023 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 24.02.2023 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of payment of amount as stated above.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

11. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, WMP.No.49355/2026 is ordered and WMP.No.49356/2026 is closed.

28-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

SK / KSK

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To
The Commercial Tax Officer/ The State Tax Officer,
Palladam 2 Assessment Circle,
Palladam, Tiruppur I, Erode,
Tamil Nadu

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

SK

WP No. 43993 of 2026
and
WMP Nos. 49355 & 49356 of 2026

28-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis