Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 31150 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012539372026}

1. Kathir
   Son of Chinnadurai,
   81 A Kollaimedu,
   Melnagar Post,
   Kilnagar,
   Melnagar,
   Tiruvannamalai District

2. Chinnadurai
   Son of Munusamy 81 A Kollaimedu Melnagar
   Post Kilnagar Melnagar Tiruvannamalai District

3. Kavitha

   Wife of Jayasingh,
   750 Kalathumettu Street,
   Nadupattu,
   Vinnamangalam,
   Arani,
   Tiruvannamalai District.

4.Son of Munusamy,
81 A Kollaimedu,
Melnagar Post,
Kilnagar,
Melnagar,
Tiruvannamalai District

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. Seenu Alias Jayasingh
Son of Paramathma,
750 Kalathumettu Street,
Nadupattu,
Vinnamangalam,
Arani, Tiruvannamalai District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
Kannamangalam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai.
Crime No 182 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

To grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of their arrest in Crime No.182 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Kannamangalam Police Station, Tiruvannamalai.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. S Janarthanam

For Respondent(s): Mr. R. Rajasekaran, Government Advocate

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 182 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners along with other accused attacked the de-facto complainant due to previous enmity. A specific allegation against the first petitioner is that he attacked the de-facto complainant with knife and caused grievous injury on his head. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the case-in-counter in CSR.No.108 of 2026 has been registered against the de-facto complainant. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that a specific allegation against the first petitioner is that he attacked

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

the de-facto complainant with knife and caused head injury. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the gravity of offence committed by the first petitioner/A1, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to him and accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed against him and considering that there is no specific overt-act against the petitioners/A2 to A4; that the case-in-counter case has been registered against the de-facto complainant, and that the petitioners 2 to 4 are not having previous case, this Court is inclined to enlarge them on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners 2 to 4 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Arani,** on condition that the petitioners 2 to 4 shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- each (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only),** with two sureties each, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners 2 to 4 fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

(d) this petition is dismissed against A1.

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners 2 to 4 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners 2 to 4 released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(f) If the petitioners 2 to 4 thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

28.10.2026

MSM

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Arani

2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

3. The Inspector of Police,

Kannamangalam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai.
Crime No 182 of 2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MSM

CRL OP No. 31150 of 2026

28-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis