Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH

Civil Revision Petition No.6885 of 2026
and
C.M.P.No.29606 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012539232026}

K.B.Ravikumar
S/o.Baskaran

..Petitioner

Vs

N.Perumal (died)

1. P.Kasturi
   W/o.Late N.Perumal

2. P Gopinath

3. Vindhya Rajan

..Respondents

Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the fair order and decretal order passed in I.A.No.11 of 2026 in O.S.No.370 of 2021 dated 11-09-2026 on the file of the I Additional District and Sessions Court, Thiruvallur.

For Petitioner : Ms.Dharanee.V

*****

ORDER

This civil revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order dated 11.09.2026 passed in I.A.No.11 of 2026 in O.S.No.370 of 2021 by the I Additional District and Sessions Court,

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Thiruvallur, wherein the application filed by the respondents/plaintiffs to file additional documents, came to be allowed by the Court below.

2. Heard learned counsel for petitioner and carefully perused the materials available on record. This Court also went through the impugned order.

3. The respondents/plaintiffs filed the suit in O.S.No.370 of 2021 seeking for the relief of specific performance. The pleadings were completed, issues were framed and trial commenced. At that stage, the respondents/plaintiffs filed the application in I.A.No.11 of 2026 in O.S.No.370 of 2021 to file additional documents and the same came to be allowed by the Court below. Aggrieved by the same, the present revision has been filed.

4. The main ground urged on the side of petitioner is that absolutely no reason was assigned as to why the documents were sought to be filed nearly five years after the filing of the suit. The next ground raised is that none of the documents had any relevance and the Court below has mechanically allowed the application.

5. In the considered view of this Court, the Court below merely permitted the plaintiffs to file additional documents. Their relevancy, proof and admissibility can be independently gone into as and when those documents are

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

Order QR

WEB COPY

sought to be marked by the plaintiffs. Therefore, the right of the petitioner/defendant to object to those documents is preserved. In view of the same, the order passed by the Court below does not require the interference of this Court.

Accordingly, this civil revision petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

30-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
gm

To

The I Additional District and Sessions Court,
Thiruvallur.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.

gm

Civil Revision Petition No.6885 of 2026

30-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis