Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP No. 31146 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012530142026}

P.Prabakaran

..Petitioner

Vs

1. State of Tamil Nadu
   Rep. By The Commissioner of Police,
   Coimbatore City,
   Coimbatore

2. The Inspector of Police
   Singanallur Police Station,
   Coimbatore.

3. Valliyappan
   S/o Algappan,
   Proprietor,
   M/s. Sai Vishali Developers
   No.125/3, Kumaran Complex,
   100 Feet Road, Gandhipuram,
   Coimbatore – 641 012.

..Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to directing the Respondents 2 and 3 Not To Harass the petitioner and his family members in the guise of enquiry by considering the petitioner's representation dated 13.10.2026.

For Petitioner: Mr. A. Rajaram

For Respondents: Mr. R. Ganesh Kumar,
Additional Public Prosecutor for R1 and R2

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondent Police not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the respondent police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police submits that on the complaint given by the defacto complainant against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending on the file of the second respondent police.

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police have been harassing them under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquiry into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XIII of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Though the Sanhita empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioners may not be the same to the police officer.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 179 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari** ***Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]*** shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

28-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

LPP/ARL

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Coimbatore City,
Coimbatore.

2. The Inspector of Police
Singanallur Police Station,
Coimbatore.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

LPP

CRL OP No. 31146 of 2026

28-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis