



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V. KARTHIKEYAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

WP No. 41110 of 2025

and

WMP No.46068 of 2025

1. Union of India
Represented by Secretary,
Department of Post, Dak Bhavan,
Sansard Marg, New Delhi-110 001.
2. The Assistant Director General (DE)
Department of Posts,
Dak Bhavan, Sansard Marg,
New Delhi-110 001
3. The Director (DE)
Department of Posts, Dak Bhavan, Sansard
Marg, New Delhi-110 001
4. The Chief Postmaster General,
Tamil Nadu Circle, Chennai- 600002

..Petitioner(s)

Vs.

M PradeepKumar

..Respondent(s)



This Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the nature of Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records of the Tribunal in its impugned order dated 29.07.2024 in O.A. No. 537 of 2020 passed by Central Administrative Tribunal, Chennai Bench and to quash the same

For Petitioner(s): Mr. M Karthikeyan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Malaichamy

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by K.Kumaresh Babu, J.)

Toady, when the matter was taken up for hearing, a counter affidavit was filed by the 1st respondent, who is the successful applicant, indicating that he had appeared for a subsequent examination, for promotion to the cadre of Assistant Accounts Officer and had been successfully promoted to the said post and therefore, he is not pressing the relief sought for in the original application. In this connection, paragraph No.11 of the counter affidavit is extracted hereunder:

“11.I state that in the meanwhile I appeared for LDCE for promotion to the cadre of AAO (Assistant Accounts Officer) during May 2022. I have been declared as qualified and promoted as AAO on 02.12.2022 and posted at PAO (Postal Accounts Office),



Jharkhand. Now, I am working as AAO at PAO, Chennai, Tamil Nadu Circle from 01.12.2025. Therefore, I want to continue to work as AAO and thereby I am not pressing for any relief for the post of IP for which I have filed OA No.537 of 2020 before the Hon'ble Tribunal."

2.However, the learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the issues of law, upon which the Tribunal had passed the order, would have to be visited by this Court.

3.Considering the fact fact that the 1st respondent / applicant himself had given up his right for promotion, which has been granted to him by the Tribunal, we propose not to enter upon the issue and leave it open to be agitated in an appropriate case. In view of the same, this Writ Petition stands closed. No costs. Consequently, connected Writ Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

(C.V.K.,J.) (K.B.,J.)
29-01-2026

smv

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

WP No. 41110 of 2



**C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.
AND
K.KUMARESH BABU, J.**

SMV

WP No. 41110 of 2025

29-01-2026