Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30339 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012526222026}

1. Deena
2. Vengadesan
3. Ilaiyavelu
4. Pavalan
5. Ragul
6. V.Raja
7. Tholganppiyan
8. Jeevarajan
9. Madhan

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep.by,
The Inspector of Police
B5, Magaral Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
Cr.No.182 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 108 of bNSS praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest concern in Crime No. 182 of 2026 On the file of the Inspector of Police, B-5, Magaral Police Station, Tiruvallur.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Rajadurai

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 286(b), 115(ii), 118(i), 351(iii) of BNS read with Section 4 of Women Harassment Act in Crime No.**182 of 2026** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. 2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the de facto complainant reside in the same village. On the date of occurrence, when the first petitioner was riding a two-wheeler at a high speed and in a rash and negligent manner, the de facto complainant's husband questioned his conduct, leading to a wordy altercation between them. Subsequently, the first petitioner, along with the other petitioners, allegedly assaulted the de facto complainant and her family members with a wooden log and a knife, and further outraged her modesty by pulling her saree.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent, a false case has been foisted against them, and they have not

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners also sustained injuries during the alleged incident. He added that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6.Considering the nature of the allegations, the facts and circumstances of the case, and the fact that the dispute is between residents of the same village, this Court is of the firm view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kancheepuram** on condition that **each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand**

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

only), with **two sureties each,** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a)If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety slip (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am until further orders;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

(e) If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

30-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MFA

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
   Kancheepuram.

2. The Inspector of Police
   B5, Magaral Police Station,
   Tiruvallur District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
   High Court, Chennai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 30339 of 2026

30-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis