WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

WP No. 43165 of 2026
CNR:{HCMA012516772026}
AND
WMP NO. 48493 OF 2026

M/s Smirk Infra Enginieeers pvt Limtied
Represetned by its Managing Director,
C..Thiyagarajan, S/o Chellasamy
No 2/6 Ground Floor, Venkat Apartments,
APadmanabha Nagar Main Road,
Choolaimedu, Chennai 600 094

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The Assistant Engineer
   Tamil Nadu Transmission Corporation
   Poonamalle to Bangalore Highway, Nears Vels
   Theatres,110 Kv Sub -station in SIDCO
   Sembarambakkam, Tiruvallur district.

2. Yesodha Krishna Legadapati
   S/o Lakshmi Narasaiah Lagadapati
   no 17/14 Thamarai Street,
   Sakthi Nagar, Adambakkam,
   Chennai 600 088

..Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for a Writ of Mandamus to direct the 1st respondent to consider the petitioner representation dated 24.09.2026 within stipulated time as fix by this Honble Court and till such time forbearing the 1st respondent to collect the electricity charges of Rs 10,59,213/- for the billing period between 30.8.2026 to

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

23.09.2026 in Consumer No 095420033187 stands in the name of the 2nd respondent namely Yesodha Krishna Lagadapati.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.B.Balavijayan
For Respondent(s): Ms.G.S.Janani ,
for Mr.Jaivenkatesh
Standing counsel for R1

Order

The petitioner is a tenant under the second respondent. He is running a manufacturing unit at Kuthambakkam, Tiruvallur District. For the purpose of manufacture, he had availed electricity service connection in Consumer No.095420033187. Normally, the electricity bill he used to receive was around Rs.15,000 to Rs.20,000/- . Shockingly, for the months of August and September 2026, he received a bill of Rs.10,50,215/- . Pleading that it was impossible to consume 61,517 units within a period of one month, the petitioner approached the first respondent by way of a representation dated 24.09.2026. The said representation was not considered. In the meantime, the first respondent had issued a threat that the electricity service connection standing in the name of the second respondent would be disconnected for non-payment of the bill. Hence, the petitioner is before this Court.

2. When the matter is taken up, Ms.Janani, appearing for Mr.L.Jai Venkatesh, learned Standing counsel for the first respondent submits that

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

there could have been an error on account of the contractors who were called upon by the first respondent to take the reading. She states, as the petitioner's representation is pending, the first respondent would consider the same and pass appropriate orders within a period of four weeks.

3. In the light of the statement made by Ms.G.S.Janani, there shall be a direction to the first respondent to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 24.09.2026 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Till such disposal, the electricity service connection in Consumer No.095420033187 shall not be disconnected. This does not mean that the petitioner need not pay the regular electricity charges which he has so far been paying.

4. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

23-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

KST

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN J.

KST

To

The Assistant Engineer
Tamil nadu Transmission Corporation
poonamallee to bangalore Highway,
Nears Vels Theatres
110 Kv Sub -station in SIDCO
Sembarambakkam
Tiruvallur district

WP No. 43165 of 2026
AND
WMP NO. 48493 OF 2026

23-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis