WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 31263 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012508082026}

Mathan @ Mathan Mohan

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police
Perambur Police Station,
Mayiladuthurai District.
Crime no.465 of 2025.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime no.465 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.U Kathiravan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 351(3) of BNS read with 25(1A) of Arms Act in Crime No.**465 of 2025** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had a love affair with the de facto complainant's sister, which was objected to by her family members. Due to this dispute, a wordy quarrel arose between the parties. During the alleged occurrence, the petitioner, along with the co-accused, scolded the de facto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him with an ***Aruval***, and threatened him with dire consequences.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against the petitioner and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police reiterated the prosecution's case and submitted that the petitioner is the prime accused, who had criminally trespassed into the house of the de facto complainant and threatened him with dire consequences. He further submitted that the petitioner is a history-sheeter with 14 previous cases pending against him. It was also submitted that upon completion of the investigation, the charge sheet was filed on 30.07.2026.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

While the co-accused was arrested and subsequently released on bail, this petitioner has been absconding. Emphasising that this is the fourth anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Considering the serious nature of the allegations and the fact that this is a case of criminal intimidation involving the brandishing of a weapon, alongside the petitioner's status as a history-sheeter, and further taking note of the fact that there is no change in circumstances since the dismissal of the earlier bail applications, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

29-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MFA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate
   Tharangambadi.

2. The Inspector of Police
   Perambur Police Station,
   Mayiladuthurai District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
   High Court, Chennai,

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 31263 of 2026

29-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis