Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 43331 of 2026

WMP Nos.48643 and 48644 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012495302026}

M/s SK Enterprises,
Represented by its Proprietor,
Mr. Kingsley Swamy Nadar. (M/a 46 Yrs),
No. 40/1A, Vasantha Nagar,
IV Street, Thangal, Tiruvallur 600 019.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Thiruvottiyur Assessment Circle,
Elephant Gate Bridge Road,
Chennai.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records on the file of the Respondent and to quash the impugned assessment order dated 28.08.2024 bearing GSTIN no. 33CDTPS1335N1ZY/2019-20 in reference no. ZD330824289815U passed by the Respondent as arbitrary and thus render justice.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Ms. Dhanalakshme. R

For Respondent(s): Ms. Amirta Poonkodi Dinakaran
Additional Government Pleader (Taxes)

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed challenging the assessment order dated 28.08.2024 passed by the respondent.

2. Ms. Amirta Poonkodi Dinakaran, learned Additional Government Pleader (Taxes) takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main Writ Petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent under the "View Additional Notices and Orders" column in the GST common portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Therefore, this petition has been filed.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. Further, she would submit that 100% of the tax liability has already be recovered by the respondent. Hence, she requests this Court to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to present their case before the respondent by setting aside the impugned order.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent had uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, she has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, she requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent for fresh consideration.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the Additional Government Pleader for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

7. In the case on hand, it is evident that the show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, he was not aware of the issuance of the said show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

8. No doubt, sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, despite the fact that there is no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only amount to fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well.

9. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act. Therefore, this Court finds that there has been a lack of effort in applying the available opportunities to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner.

10. Further, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that 100% of the tax liability has already been recovered by the respondent. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 28.08.2024 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 28.08.2024 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

11. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. W.M.P.No.48643 of 2026 filed to dispense with the production of the original impugned order, is allowed. Consequently, the connected W.M.P.No.48644 of 2026 is also closed.

29-10-2026

Asi

To

The Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Thiruvottiyur Assessment Circle,
Elephant Gate Bridge Road,
Chennai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

Asi

WP No. 43331 of 2026

WMP Nos.48643 and 48644 of 2026

29-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis