WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30385 of 2026

S.Rajkumar,
S/o.Sakthivel,
No.327, Selva Nagar, 2nd Street,
Vengikkal, Tiruvannamalai,
Tamilnadu-606604. ...Petitioner/Accused -3

Vs

The State By:
The Inspector of Police,
Tiruvannamalai East Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District. ...Respondent/Complainant
(Crime No.377 of 2026)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner/accused (Rank not known) on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.377 of 2026, F.I.R dated 21.09.2026 pending investigation, on the file of Respondent Police, Tiruvannamalai East Police Station, Thiruvannamalai District.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.Varadharajan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran,
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Section 337, 341(2), 341(4) of BNS Act, 2023, in Crime No.377 of 2026, and seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with others, allegedly lodged a false online complaint claiming that certain documents had been lost, forged a Government seal and police officials' signatures, and fabricated a non-traceable certificate. Based on the said certificate, they allegedly fraudulently registered a settlement deed by deceiving the Joint Registrar. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that A1, a 59-year-old woman, and A2, aged 63 years, have already been granted anticipatory bail and the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and is also ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

Order QR

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) opposed the grant of anticipatory bail, alleging that the petitioner and others forged official seals and signatures, fabricated a non-traceable certificate, and fradulently registered a settlement deed. He further submitted that A1 and A2 have already been granted anticipatory bail. Hence, he sought dismissal of the anticipatory bail petition.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side and have also perused the records available on record.

6. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the alleged fabrication of official documents and misuse of forged seals and signatures to fradulently register a settlement deed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

27-10-2026

nvi

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To
1.The Inspector of Police,
Tiruvannamalai East Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

nvi

CRL OP No. 30385 of 2026

27-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis