WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 31193 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012472922026}

S.Subburayan
S/o Somasundaram,
Flat 2 C, Aasha pulla Avenue, Shenoy Nagar,
Chennai.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State, represented by The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch,
Tambaram City.
Crime No.24 of 2024

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the Petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest concerned in Crime No.24 of 2024, on the file of the Crime No.24 of 2024 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Central Crime Branch, Tambaram City, on such terms and conditions and as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. M VETRIVEL
For Respondent(s): Mr. R. Rajasekaran, Government Advocate
For Intervenor: Mr.V.Sundarraman

ORDER

The petitioner herein apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 464, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC in Crime No.24 of 2024, on the file of the respondent Police,

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the owner of the subject property. He had purchased the said property from the petitioner in the year 1986 and has been in possession and enjoyment of the same ever since. Recently, certain third parties approached the property claiming right, title, and interest over it. Upon verification, it came to light that the petitioner, suppressing the earlier sale effected in favour of the defacto complainant, had subsequently executed another sale deed in respect of the very same property in the year 2004 by creating and using forged documents. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has not committed any of the offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He also submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that two other criminal cases are

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

pending against the petitioner in Crime Nos.53 of 2012 and 416 of 2023 on the file of Kanchipuram Police Station. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police. Perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations against the petitioner, namely that he had allegedly created forged documents in respect of the property and, despite having no valid title, had executed a subsequent sale deed in favour of another person, and also taking into consideration his antecedents involving two other criminal cases of a similar nature, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

28-10-2026

MPA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MPA

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch,
Tambaram City.
Crime No.24 of 2024

2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

CRL OP No. 31193 of 2026

28-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis