WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 30666 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012464452026}

Arun Kumar
S/o Ganapathi,
Mettu Street,Perungalathur, Cheyyar Taluk,
Tiruvannamalai .

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Represented By, The Inspector of Police
Cheyyar Police StationTiruvannamalai
District.Crime No. 290 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of my arrest or surrender in Crime No. 290 of 2026 under section 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS Act on the file respondent police and pass such further or other orders as this Honorable Court.

For Petitioner(s): S. Petchiappan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran, Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 118(1) & 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.290 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was dispute with regard to the house sites between the defacto complainant and the petitioner. Due to which the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him with spade on his had and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this is the third anticipatory bail petition and that the earlier petitioners were dismissed by this Court. He further submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any such offences as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He submitted that the injuries are grievous in nature.

5. Taking note of the fact that the previous anticipatory bail petitions were dismissed by this Court considering the gravity of the head injury caused by the

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

petitioner, and finding no change of circumstance, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

27-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MPA

To
1. The Inspector of Police
Cheyyar Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.Crime No. 290 of 2026

2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 30666 of 2026

27-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis