Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 28-10-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY**

**WP No. 43031 of 2026**
**and W.M.P.Nos.48318 & 48321 of 2026**

*CNR: {HCMA012463622026}*

Anandha Shoe Mart
Represented by its Proprietor, Sivaraman Ramesh,
Old No.18, New No.21, Salem Main Road,
Kaveripattinam, Krishnagiri - 635112.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Deputy Commercial Tax Officer / Deputy State
Tax Officer- II,
Krishnagiri Assessment Circle-I, Krishnagiri,
Hosur, Tamilnadu.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari calling for the entire records relating to the impugned order in Reference No. ZD330326238612L dated 26.03.2026 along with annexure in GSTIN 33ACTPR3134R2ZT/2019-20 dated 26.03.2026 passed by the Respondent insofar as it relates to the levy of interest and imposition of equal amount of penalty under Section 74 of the TNGST Act and quash the same.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.T.Ramesh

For Respondent(s): Ms.G.Dhana Madhri,
Special Government Pleader

**ORDER**

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 26.03.2026 passed by the respondent.



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. Ms.G.Dhana Madhri, learned Special Government Pleader (Tax), takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent under the "View Additional Notices and Orders" column in the GST common portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Therefore, this petition has been filed.

4. Further, he would submit that the petitioner has already paid the Entire disputed tax. Hence, he requests this Court to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to present their case before the respondent by setting aside the impugned order.

5. On the other hand, the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent had uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, she has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, she requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent.

6. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

7. In the case on hand, it is evident that the show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, he was not aware of the issuance of the said show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

8. No doubt, sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, despite of the fact that there is no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only amount to fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well.

9. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act. Therefore, this Court finds that there has been a lack of effort in applying the available opportunities to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively on the petitioner.

10. Further, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that they has already paid the entire disputed tax. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 26.03.2026 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 26.03.2026 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration, subject to the verification that the petitioner has paid the entire disputed tax, as stated above.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

11. With the aforesaid observation and directions, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs. W.M.P.No.48318 of 2026 filed to dispense with the production of the original impugned order is allowed. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

**28-10-2026**

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

VM

To:

Deputy Commercial Tax Officer / Deputy State
Tax Officer- II,
Krishnagiri Assessment Circle-I, Krishnagiri,
Hosur, Tamilnadu.



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY



**KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.**

**VM**

**WP No. 43031 of 2026**

**28-10-2026**



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis