



WEB COPY

CRP No. 5975 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRP No. 5975 of 2025

Maria Vinoth Robinson
S/o Vivekanandan,
R/o.3/11, Kombakattupudur,
Ichipatti, Tirupur 641668.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. ArulMary
W/o Francis Xavier,
4, Govindammal Lane, Kattoor,
Mettupalayam-641 305
2. Francis Xavier
S/o. Michel Nadar,
4, Govindammal Lane, Kattoor,
Mettupalayam 641 305.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Civil Revision Petition filed under Art. 227 of Constitution of India, praying to set aside the fair and decreetal order 30.04.2024 passed in IA No.1 of 2024 on the file of Principal District Judge Coimbatore by allowing the CRP.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. P.Muthusamy

For Respondent(s): No appearance

ORDER

Challenging the impugned order passed in I.A.No.1 of 2024 in G.W.O.P.No. 8 of 2024 by the learned Principal District Judge, Coimbatore, the Revision Petitioner/petitioner preferred this Civil Revision Petition.



2. Despite service of notice, there is no representation on the side of respondents.

3. Before the trial court, the Revision Petitioner filed an application under Sec.12 of Guardian and Wards Act to grant interim custody of minor child to the only legal guardian, Petitioner from the respondents for three days (Friday to Sunday) in every week till the disposal of the main petition and the same was granted in favour of the petitioner. Now, the Revision Petitioner had challenged the said order stating that he wanted to have permanent custody of the child.

4. The learned counsel for Revision Petitioner would submit that already mother of child died due to some natural illness and the respondents are his father-in-law and mother-in-law, but as on date, the child is with the custody of Revision Petitioner. Hence, he prayed to grant permission to have permanent custody of the child.

5. On perusal of records, the fact reveals that since the child is under the custody of Revision Petitioner, already he had filed a petition in G.W.O.P.No. 8 of 2024. Considering that and also the fact that the respondents, who are inlaws of the petitioner not appeared inspite of notice, the trial judge is directed to dispose of the said petition within a period of three months from the date of



receipt of copy of this order. Until then, the custody of the child is granted with the father of child, since mother was already died. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is disposed of. No costs.

09-03-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

RPP

To

The Principal District Judge, Coimbatore.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 5975 of 2



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.

RPP

CRP No. 5975 of 2025

09-03-2026