



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-01-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 30158 of 2025

Md.Sahil Raja

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State by,
The Inspector of Police
Cyber Crime Wing,
Tambaram City Police,
Sholinganallur,
Chennai – 600 119.
Cr.No.06 of 2025.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest, pending investigation in Cr.No.06/2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.A.Jasline

For Respondent(s):

Ms.J.R.Archana,

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

Order

The petitioner herein apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 61(2), 318(4), 340(2) BNS, 2023 r/w Section 66D of the Information Technology Act, 2000 in Crime No.06 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that he is arrayed as A9 in this case. In total, there are 15 accused, who allegedly colluded and created a fake online trading application and thereby induced various persons to invest, cheated to the extent of Rs.99 lakhs from 15 victims. Hence, the complaint came to be lodged.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner's bank account was misused by some other person and, in this regard, the petitioner has lodged a complaint. He further submitted that the petitioner was not aware of the nature of the scam committed by the other accused and prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that, in the counter affidavit, it is stated that there are several layers of bank accounts involved and that the bank accounts of some of the accused were used for the transaction. He further submitted that the petitioner's bank account was also used for receiving an amount of Rs.5 lakhs on 08.04.2025. So far, no amount has been recovered and no accused has been arrested. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon perusal of the FIR and other connected materials, it is revealed



that a sum of Rs.5 lakhs was credited to the petitioner's bank account. Though the petitioner contends that he was not aware of the said transaction, the Investigating Officer has collected details relating to the transfer of Rs.5 lakhs, which indicate that the petitioner actively participated along with the other accused in the commission of the offence. So far, no amount has been recovered. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

06-01-2026

DRL

To

1.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

2. The Inspector of Police
Cyber Crime Wing,
Tambaram City Police,
Sholinganallur,
Chennai – 600 119.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 30158 of 2025

06-01-2026

_____Page 4 of 4