Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 42685, 42686 & 42689 of 2026

CNR:{HCMA012429702026}

AND WMP NO. 47970, 47971, 47972, 47973, 47974 & 47975 OF 2026

Kbs Motors, Rep by its proprietor
D.Karthikeyan S/o.Devarajran
No.7/A Vathiyar Subbarayan Street
Chennai 600 083.

..Petitioner(s) in all petitions

Vs

The Assistant Commissioner
Ekkatuthangal Assessment Circle
Integerated building for Commercial
Taxes and Reg. Dept South Tower Room No.305
3rd Floor Nandanam Chennai 600 035.

..Respondent(s) in all petitions

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus,

calling for the records relating to the Respondents impugned summary of the order vide ref. No. ZD3303260676491/2022-2023 dated 09.03.2026 FORM GST DRC-07 with connected detailed Proceedings GSTIN / 33AZAPK1762E2ZT/ 2022-2023 dated 09.03.2026 under Section 73 of the TNGST Act 2017and quash the same and consequently direct the Respondent to reconsider the matter afresh after providing a fair and reasonable opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner and permitting the Petitioner to submit all supporting documents

calling for the records relating to the Respondents impugned summary of the order vide ref. No. ZD331225297271H/2021-2022 dated

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

18.12.2025 FORM GST DRC-07 with connected detailed Proceedings GSTIN / 33AZAPK1762E2ZT/ 2021-2022 dated 18.12.2025 under Section 73 of the TNGST Act 2017 and quash the same and consequently direct the Respondent to reconsider the matter afresh after providing a fair and reasonable opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner and permitting the Petitioner to submit all supporting documents

calling for the records relating to the Respondents impugned summary of the order vide ref. No. ZD33034261968506/2023-2024 dated 22.04.2026 FORM GST DRC-07 with connected detailed Proceedings GSTIN / 33AZAPK1762E2ZT/ 2023-2024 dated 22.04.2026 under Section 73 of the TNGST Act 2017 and quash the same and consequently direct the Respondent to reconsider the matter afresh after providing a fair and reasonable opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner and permitting the Petitioner to submit all supporting documents

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Bhoopalan D
For Respondent(s): Mr.B.Sivaraman Ga (t)

Order

These writ petitions have been filed challenging the orders dated 09.03.2026, 18.12.2025 & 22.04.2026 passed by the respondent.

2. Mr.B.Sivaraman, learned Government Advocate, takes notice on behalf of the respondent.

3. By consent of the parties, the main writ petitions are taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in these case, all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent in the GST common portal. Since the petitioner had failed to view the portal, they were not aware of the said notices, due to which, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned orders came to be passed by the respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Hence, these petitions have been filed.

5. Further, she would submit that the petitioner is ready and willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax amount, in each petitions, to the respondent. Hence, he requests this Court to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to present their case before the respondent by setting aside the impugned order.

6. On the other hand, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent had uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, she has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, she requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent, subject to the payment of 25% of the disputed tax amount by the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

7. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

8. In the cases on hand, it is evident that the show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, he was not aware of the issuance of the said show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

9. No doubt, sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer, who is sending the repeated reminders, despite the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only amount to fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well.

10. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act. Therefore, this Court finds that there has been lack of efforts in exploring the available opportunities to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively on the petitioner.

11. Further, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax amount, in each petitions, to the respondent. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned orders dated 09.03.2026, 18.12.2025 & 22.04.2026 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

> (i) The impugned orders dated 09.03.2026, 18.12.2025 & 22.04.2026 are set aside and the matters are remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration on condition that the petitioner shall pay 25% of the disputed tax amount, in each petitions, to the respondent, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The setting

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of payment of amount as stated above.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

12. With the above directions, these writ petitions are disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the miscellaneous petitions in WMP.Nos.47971, 47973 & 47975 of 2026 are closed. The miscellaneous petition in WMP.Nos.47970, 47972 & 47974 of 2026, which have been filed to dispense with the production of original assessment order, are ordered.

28-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
nsa

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

The Assistant Commissioner
Ekkatuthangal Assessment Circle
Integerated building for Commercial
Taxes and Reg. Dept
South Tower Room No.305
3rd Floor Nandanam
Chennai 600 035.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

nsa

WP No. 42685, 42686 & 42689 of 2026

28-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis