WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 28918 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012409622026}

Jeya Dennison @ Jeya Daisy Bai
W/o Dennison,
Residing at No. 8213, RMI Nagar, Rice Mill Street,
Pudupattinam,
Kalpakkam,
Kancheepuram District

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch,
Tambaram City Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No. 38 of 2025

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in Crime No. 38 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s): L Ramanathan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran, Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

r/w. 34 of IPC in Crime No.38 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that it is a case of land grabbing involving impersonation and the creation of forged title documents. The defacto complainant had purchased Plot Nos.117 and 119 in the year 1996. In respect of Plot No.119, one Meenakshi Sundaram, by impersonating the complainant's father, and one Benedict Vijayalakshmi, using two Sri Lankan passports and forged legal heirship and death certificates, executed a power of attorney in favour of one Sundarraj. Based on the said power of attorney, the property was subsequently sold to the present petitioner. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and that she is a bona fide purchaser for valuable consideration. He further submitted that the petitioner had purchased the property only after verifying all the relevant records, including the encumbrance particulars. He also submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that A4, Sundarraj, had already been arrested and that, based on his confession, A7, Selvaraj, was also arrested. He further submitted that the investigation is still pending. Hence, he strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid circumstances, particularly the fact that the petitioner is a subsequent purchaser of the property under the alleged chain of forged transactions and that she has not been named in the FIR, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Alandur,** on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only),** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

Order QR

WEB COPY

automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety-ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10:30 A.M., until further orders;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner was released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

29-10-2026

MPA
To

1. Judicial Magistrate-I, Alandur.

2. The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch,
Tambaram City Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No. 38 of 2025

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

N.RAMESH, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 28918 of 2026

29-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis