



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 27085 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 27085 of 2025

Naga Kishore
S/o.Venkateswara Rao,
No 9, Old No 3, Kamaraj 1st Street, Srinivasa
Nagar
Chennai-600 050.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State, rep. by its
Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
Crime No.15 of 2024

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: To grant an order of anticipatory bail in the event of Petitioners arrest by the respondent in connection with the above Crime No. 15 of 2024, on the file of the Respondent herein and pass such other or further orders as are deem fit and necessary by this Honble Court in the interest of justice and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): M.A.Gouthaman

For Respondent(s): M/S.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 465, 468, 471, 477A, 420 and 34 of IPC in Crime No.15 of 2024, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is ranked as A4 in this case and he allegedly joined with A1 to A3, who are the persons in charge of the de facto complainant's purchase department, and fabricated certain bills and, by colluding with some more suppliers of automobile components, cheated the de facto complainant's company to the extent of Rs.3.38 crores. It is further alleged that the petitioner alone has collected a sum of Rs.2.76 crores. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is only a supplier and he supplied automobile goods and collected money and he was not aware of the misappropriation or escalated rate quoted by A1 to A3 and the case itself was registered in the month of September 2024 and similarly placed suppliers have already been arrested and released on bail. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that there are 7 accused in this case, the petitioner is ranked as A7, he separately collected a sum of Rs.2.76 crores on the basis of fake invoices. She further submitted that A5 and A7, who are arrested and who are similarly placed, were released on bail. Hence, she opposed granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have gone through the records and other connected materials, though it is stated that there are serious allegations of fake invoices and cheating of Rs.2.76 crores, the alleged allegations were taken place prior to 2023 and the case was also registered in the month of September 2024 and already arrested accused were released on bail.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, and the fact that all the allegations are borne out of records, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary, hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned**



Judicial Magistrate-I, Kancheepuram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only),

with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;



(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

WEB COPY

11-02-2026

MPA

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I, Kancheepuram.

2. State, rep. by its

Inspector of Police,

District Crime Branch Police Station,

Kancheepuram District.

Crime No.15 of 2024

3. The Public Prosecutor,

High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 27085 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 27085 of 2025

11-02-2026